IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION
W.P.NO.2226 OF 2005

Hindoostan Crown Mills Siddhivinayak
Kamgar Karmachari Sangharsh
Sanghatana & Anr. ..Petitioners

Vs.

The Hindoostan Spg. and Wvg.
Mills Ltd.and Ors. ..Respondents

...

Mr.N.M.Ganguli Senior Counsel for Petitioners
Mr.S.H.Doctor, Senior Counsel i/b Federal &
Rashmikant for Respondent No.1
Mr.Deepak Thakkar i/b Pragna Thakkar & Co.
for Respondent No.2
Ms.Vidya Shreedharan i/b Mulla & Mulla & Craigie
Blunt & Caroe for Respondent No.7
Mrs.P.A.Purandhare Adv.for Respondent No.8
Ms.Hutoxi Tavadia i/b Mahesh Thorat Adv.
for Respondent No.10
Mr.S.S.Pakale with Mr.K.J.Kanpile Adv.
for Repsondent No.12
Mr.S.M.Dixit Spl.Counsel for Respondent
Nos.4, 11 and 12.

...

CORAM: F.I.REBELLO AND
SMT.V.K.TAHILRAMANI,JJ.
DATE : AUGUST 1,2006

P.C.

1. The Union by this petition, challenged the order of A.A.I.F.R. which partly allowed the appeal preferred by Respondent No.1 to the extent of asking the Provident Fund Commissioner and Directors of Central Excise Customs to grant the benefits which

have been granted instead of merely considering them. Petitioners can really have no grievance on that ground. Considering the above, no case is made out for interference by this Court in exercise of our extra ordinary writ jurisdiction. Hence, petition is rejected.

[F.I.REBELLO, J.]

[SMT.V.K.TAHILRAMANI,J.]